

į

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,550	11/12/1999	CHRISTOPHER T. GRASTEIT	ETAK-07735US	1780
23910	7590 08/12/200	2		
FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY, LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 400	ARCADERO CENTE	K	COLBERT, ELLA	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/439,550	GRASTEIT, CHRISTOP	HED T
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	N
	Ella Colbert	3624	ĺ
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			;
Period for Reply		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12			
, <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			rits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WIT ITOTT CONSIDERATION.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	3 (2) (2) . (-).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But application from the Internation from the In	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	е
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appl	lication).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prediction 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-34 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant's IDS submitted 06/07/01 is missing.

Claims Objection

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). The claims must be numbered in consecutive order and not numbered as claim set #1, claim set #2, and claim set #3. Correction is required.

Abstract Objection

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. In line 15, "1 pass" should be "one pass".

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Correction is required.

Art Unit: 3624

Arrangement of the Specification

5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Tables should be in drawing figures separate from the specification. Please

remove the tables from the specification and submit them as drawings. A substitute specification is required.

Drawings

Application/Control Number: 09/439,550 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

6. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Election/Restrictions

- 7. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-16 drawn to a method for the identification of an anchor point, defining a radial, and associating an item, classified in class 709, subclass 217.
 - II. Claims 2, 5, and 17-19 of claim set #1, and claims 1-8 of claim set #3 are drawn to searching a single layer database, classified in class 707, subclass 3.
 - III. Claims 1-7 of claim set #2, drawn to building a database, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
 - 5. Inventions in Group I, claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-16, drawn to a method for the identification of an anchor point, defining a radial, and associating an item, classified in class 709, subclass 217, Group II, claims 2, 5, 17-19, and 1-8, drawn to searching a single layer database, classified in class 707, subclass 3 and Group III, claims 1-7, classified in class 707, subclass 10, drawn to building a database. are related as subcombinations and species disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. These combinations are independent if it can be

Art Unit: 3624

shown that (1) they are not disclosed as capable of use together, (2) they have different modes of operation, (3) they have different functions, or (4) they have different effects. (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). In the instant case, Group I has separate utility such as the identification an anchor point, defining a radial, and associating an item related to the anchor point that are not required for Groups II and III. Group II recites limitations in its independent claims requiring searching a single layer database and determining if the outside data matches information that is associated with the locations and general categories, and displaying the radials that are not required of the independent claims of Groups I and III. Group III recites limitations of the steps for building a database that are not required of the independent claim of Groups I and II.

The independent claims in each Group I-III recite limitations not found together in a common independent claim of another Group, thus it is evidence that Applicant believes a distinct invention combination lies within each set of independent claims. Also, the dependent claims then append a multiple of distinct inventive concepts for which Applicant's submission is evidence that Applicant believes each supports a distinct reason for invention. See MPEP 806.05(d).

6. Applicant is requested to elect and define support in the figures one of the Groups I-III to be examined. Note that these inventions are distinct for reasons given

Art Unit: 3624

above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination is appropriate.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. Applicant is respectfully required to cancel the non-elected claims in response to this requirement.
- 8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **0** (zero) months and **30** (thirty) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S>C. 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b).

Inquiries

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 703-308-7064.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am -5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1038. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for Official communications and 703-746-5622 for Non-Official communications.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-E. Colbett

1113.

August 10, 2002